

Message

From: Amato, Paul [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=13B29B5DF12F425E833B66385FDA9969-PAMATO]
Sent: 6/20/2013 5:38:33 PM
To: McGuffie, Brianne E SPL [Brianne.E.McGuffie@usace.army.mil]
Subject: RE: Petersen Ranch (UNCLASSIFIED)

Thanks, that makes sense. I'm curious to see where you end up following internal discussions of the Petersen Ranch service area. Like Soquel, they are starting with a very large geographic scope and I'm personally having a hard time seeing how it can be supported. Same for Elizabeth Lake. Can't blame them for wanting as large a market as possible though.

Paul F. Amato
Environmental Protection Specialist
Wetlands Regulatory Office
U.S. EPA, Region 9
75 Hawthorne Street, WTR-8
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901
t:(415) 972-3847
f:(415) 947-8026
e:amato.paul@epa.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: McGuffie, Brianne E SPL [mailto:Brianne.E.McGuffie@usace.army.mil]
Sent: Thursday, June 20, 2013 10:31 AM
To: Amato, Paul
Subject: RE: Petersen Ranch (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE

Haha, yeah I'm just trying to keep up too!!! it's my understanding that we are adopting SPK guidance right now for what we are working on. There have been discussions about developing a district policy but it's not moving fast and we need to proceed with these applications. so I guess it is SPK guidance until you hear otherwise from us!

Thanks,
Bri

-----Original Message-----

From: Amato, Paul [mailto:Amato.Paul@epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, June 20, 2013 10:10 AM
To: McGuffie, Brianne E SPL
Subject: RE: Petersen Ranch (UNCLASSIFIED)

Hi Bri,

Thanks for the status update. I'll be looking at Peterson as soon as I wrap up my review of Elizabeth Lake.

Can you clarify for me whether the SPK 2010 Service Area Guidance is the method SPL will be using long-term or is it only until something else is developed? You refer to "broader guidance which has not been completed yet" but I thought I heard yesterday on the IRT call that the SPK guidance has been adopted and will not be modified.

Just trying to keep up.
Paul

Paul F. Amato
Environmental Protection Specialist
Wetlands Regulatory Office
U.S. EPA, Region 9
75 Hawthorne Street, WTR-8
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901
t:(415) 972-3847
f:(415) 947-8026
e:amato.paul@epa.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: McGuffie, Brianne E SPL [mailto:Brianne.E.McGuffie@usace.army.mil]

Sent: Tuesday, June 18, 2013 12:04 PM

To: Daniel Blankenship; Amato, Paul; Zimmerman, Jan@waterboards; Chris Dellith; 'diane_Noda@fws.gov'; 'anthony_spina@noaa.gov'; Bob.Hoffman@noaa.gov; Jan Zimmerman(jan.zimmerman@waterboards.ca.gov); 'LB.Nye@waterboards.ca.gov'; Daniel Blankenship; Erinn Wilson
Subject: Petersen Ranch (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Good Afternoon IRT members!

Many of you may have received an updated prospectus from Land Veritas for Petersen Ranch; if not, I plan on uploading the document to RIBITS in the next few days for you to review. I will be reviewing the document for completeness for a public notice either this week or next. According to our timeline there is a 30 day public notice comment period and then I will send an "initial evaluation letter" to the sponsor within 30 days of the end of the public comment period, if possible. I will copy the IRT on this letter. The IRT can and should submit any initial comments to me any time between now and the end of my deadline included in the initial evaluation letter. The next step after my letter is the sponsor's preparation of the draft instrument. I don't want to get ahead of myself, but I'm just giving you the overview :-)

I should be sending the IRT my service area review/comments either by the end of this week or next week. we've had some discussions on how to move forward with this project; however, the district is working on broader guidance which has not been completed yet and it will not appear to be in the near future so in the meantime we have to move forward. If any of you are familiar with the Soquel canyon bank, I will follow Shannon's lead on that with the service area determination.

Finally, WRA will likely be hosting a site visit next week (the week of the 24th) so please let me know who is interested so that I can let him know and you can be included on the invite and will have some input into the date/time. As a reminder, there is a conference call at 1pm for discussion of the various banks. I didn't have anything for the agenda, so I'm not if anything is being presented for Petersen, but I imagine WRA or LV will have something they want to discuss.

Thanks!

Bri

Bri McGuffie

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Regulatory Division

915 Wilshire, Suite 13073

Los Angeles, CA 90017

Phone: 213.452.3419

Fax: 213.452.4196

Assist us in better serving you!

You are invited to complete our customer survey, located at the following

link: <http://per2.nwp.usace.army.mil/survey.html>

Note: If the link is not active, copy and paste it into your internet browser.

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE